



**BlueCross BlueShield  
of Vermont**

*An Independent Licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association.*

## **Electrical Bone Growth Stimulation of the Appendicular Skeleton Corporate Medical Policy**

File Name: Electrical Bone Growth Stimulation of the Appendicular Skeleton

File Code: UM.SPSVC.11

Origination: 04/2017

Last Review: 01/2019

Next Review: 01/2020

Effective Date: 04/01/2019

### **Description/Summary**

In the appendicular skeleton, electrical stimulation with either implantable electrodes or noninvasive surface stimulators has been investigated to facilitate the healing of fresh fractures, stress fractures, delayed union, nonunion, congenital pseudoarthroses, and arthrodesis.

### **Noninvasive Electrical Bone Growth Stimulation**

For individuals who have fracture nonunion who receive noninvasive electrical bone growth stimulation, the evidence includes randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and systematic reviews of RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, and functional outcomes. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration has approved noninvasive electrical bone growth stimulation for fracture non-unions and congenital pseudoarthroses in the appendicular skeleton, based largely on studies with patients serving as their own controls. There is also evidence from 2 small sham-controlled randomized trials that noninvasive electrical stimulators improve fracture healing for patients with fracture nonunion. However, there are few nonsurgical options in this population, and the pre-post studies of patients with non-healing fractures support the efficacy of the treatment. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome. For individuals who have delayed fracture union, fresh or stress fracture(s), or who have had surgery of the appendicular skeleton who receive noninvasive electrical bone growth stimulation, the evidence includes RCTs and systematic reviews of RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, and functional outcomes. A meta-analysis of 5 RCTs found no statistically significant benefit of electrical bone growth stimulation for fresh fractures. RCTs on delayed union of the other types of fractures were limited by small sample sizes and did not show significant differences in outcomes between study groups. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

### **Invasive Electrical Bone Growth Stimulation**

For individuals who have fracture, pseudoarthroses, or who have had surgery of the appendicular skeleton who receive implantable and semi-invasive electrical bone growth stimulation, the evidence includes a small number of case series. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, and functional outcomes. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

## Policy

### Coding Information

Click the links below for attachments, coding tables & instructions.

[Attachment I- CPT® Coding Table](#)

[Attachment II- ICD-10-CM Coding Table](#)

### When a service may be considered medically necessary

Noninvasive electrical bone growth stimulation may be considered **medically necessary** as treatment of fracture non-unions or congenital pseudoarthroses in the appendicular skeleton (the appendicular skeleton includes the bones of the shoulder girdle, upper extremities, pelvis, and lower extremities). The diagnosis of fracture nonunion must meet ALL of the following criteria:

- At least 3 months have passed since the date of fracture;
- Serial radiographs have confirmed that no progressive signs of healing have occurred;
- The fracture gap is 1 cm or less; and
- The patient can be adequately immobilized; and
- Is of an age likely to comply with non-weight bearing for fractures of the pelvis and lower extremities.

### When a service is considered investigational

**Investigational** applications of electrical bone growth stimulation include, but are not limited to, immediate postsurgical treatment after appendicular skeletal surgery, stress fractures, or for the treatment of fresh fractures, delayed union, arthrodesis or failed arthrodesis.

Implantable and semi-invasive electrical bone growth stimulators are considered **investigational**.

### Definitions

#### Fresh Fracture

A fracture is most commonly defined as “fresh” for 7 days after the fracture occurs. Most fresh closed fractures heal without complications with the use of standard fracture care (ie, closed reduction and cast immobilization).

#### Delayed Union

Delayed union is defined as a decelerating healing process as determined by serial x- rays,

together with a lack of clinical and radiologic evidence of union, bony continuity, or bone reaction at the fracture site for no less than 3 months from the index injury or the most recent intervention. In contrast, nonunion serial radiographs (described next) show no evidence of healing. When lumped together, delayed union and nonunion are sometimes referred to as “un-united fractures.”

### Fractured Nonunion

No consensus on the definition of fracture nonunion currently exists. One proposed definition is failure of progression of fracture healing for at least 3 consecutive months (and at least 6 months following the fracture) accompanied by clinical symptoms of delayed/nonunion (pain, difficulty weight bearing) (Bhandari et al, 2012).

The original U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) labeling of fracture non-unions defined non-unions as fractures not showing progressive healing after at least 9 months from the original injury. The labeling states: “A nonunion is considered to be established when a minimum of 9 months has elapsed since injury and the fracture site shows no visibly progressive signs of healing for minimum of 3 months.” This timeframe is not based on physiologic principles but was included as part of the research design for FDA approval as a means of ensuring homogeneous populations of patients, many of whom were serving as their own controls. Others have contended that 9 months represents an arbitrary cutoff point that does not reflect the complicated variables present in fractures, (i.e., degree of soft tissue damage, alignment of the bone fragments, vascularity, and quality of the underlying bone stock). Some fractures may show no signs of healing, based on serial radiographs as early as 3 months, while a fracture nonunion may not be diagnosed in others until well after 9 months. The current policy of requiring a 3-month timeframe for lack of progression of healing is consistent with the definition of nonunion as described in the clinical literature.

### Reference Resources

1. Buza JA, 3rd, Einhorn T. Bone healing in 2016. *Clin Cases Miner Bone Metab.* May-Aug 2016;13(2):101-105. PMID 27920804 Original Review Date: December 1995 Page: 11 © 2018 Blue Cross Blue Shield Association. Reproduction without prior authorization is prohibited. MPRM 7.01.07 Electrical Bone Growth Stimulation of the Appendicular Skeleton
2. Bhandari M, Fong K, Sprague S, et al. Variability in the definition and perceived causes of delayed unions and nonunions: a cross-sectional, multinational survey of orthopaedic surgeons. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* Aug 1 2012;94(15):e1091-1096. PMID 22854998
3. Ahl T, Andersson G, Herberts P, et al. Electrical treatment of non-united fractures. *Acta Orthop Scand.* Dec 1984;55(6):585-588. PMID 6335345
4. Connolly JF. Selection, evaluation and indications for electrical stimulation of ununited fractures. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* Nov-Dec 1981(161):39-53. PMID 6975690
5. Connolly JF. Electrical treatment of nonunions. Its use and abuse in 100 consecutive fractures. *Orthop Clin North Am.* Jan 1984;15(1):89-106. PMID 6607443
6. de Haas WG, Beaupre A, Cameron H, et al. The Canadian experience with pulsed magnetic fields in the treatment of ununited tibial fractures. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* Jul 1986(208):55-58. PMID 3720140
7. Sharrard WJ, Sutcliffe ML, Robson MJ, et al. The treatment of fibrous non-union of fractures by pulsing electromagnetic stimulation. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* Jan 1982;64(2):189-193. PMID 6978339

8. Aleem IS, Aleem I, Evaniew N, et al. Efficacy of electrical stimulators for bone healing: a meta-analysis of randomized sham-controlled trials. *Sci Rep*. Aug 19 2016;6:31724. PMID 27539550
9. Simonis RB, Parnell EJ, Ray PS, et al. Electrical treatment of tibial non-union: a prospective, randomised, double-blind trial. *Injury*. Apr 2003;34(5):357-362. PMID 12719164
10. Barker AT, Dixon RA, Sharrard WJ, et al. Pulsed magnetic field therapy for tibial non-union. Interim results of a double-blind trial. *Lancet*. May 5 1984;1(8384):994-996. PMID 6143970
11. Scott G, King JB. A prospective, double-blind trial of electrical capacitive coupling in the treatment of non-union of long bones. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. Jun 1994;76(6):820-826. PMID 8200888
12. Shi HF, Xiong J, Chen YX, et al. Early application of pulsed electromagnetic field in the treatment of postoperative delayed union of long-bone fractures: a prospective randomized controlled study. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. Jan 19 2013;14:35. PMID 23331333
13. Sharrard WJ. A double-blind trial of pulsed electromagnetic fields for delayed union of tibial fractures. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. May 1990;72(3):347-355. PMID 2187877
14. Griffin XL, Warner F, Costa M. The role of electromagnetic stimulation in the management of established nonunion of long bone fractures: what is the evidence? *Injury*. Apr 2008;39(4):419-429. PMID 18321512
15. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Electrical bone growth stimulation for delayed union or nonunion of fractures. *TEC Assessment*. 1992:Volume 7:332-351.
16. Griffin XL, Costa ML, Parsons N, et al. Electromagnetic field stimulation for treating delayed union or non-union of long bone fractures in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. Apr 13 2011(4):CD008471. PMID 21491410
17. Adie S, Harris IA, Naylor JM, et al. Pulsed electromagnetic field stimulation for acute tibial shaft fractures: a multicenter, double-blind, randomized trial. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. Sep 7 2011;93(17):1569-1576. PMID 21915570
18. Faldini C, Cadossi M, Luciani D, et al. Electromagnetic bone growth stimulation in patients with femoral neck fractures treated with screws: prospective randomized double-blind study. *Curr Orthop Pract*. 2010;21(3):282-287.
19. Hannemann PF, Gottgens KW, van Wely BJ, et al. The clinical and radiological outcome of pulsed electromagnetic field treatment for acute scaphoid fractures: a randomised double-blind placebo-controlled multicentre trial. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. Oct 2012;94(10):1403-1408. PMID 23015569
20. Hannemann PF, van Wezenbeek MR, Kolkman KA, et al. CT scan-evaluated outcome of pulsed electromagnetic fields in the treatment of acute scaphoid fractures: a randomised, multicentre, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Bone Joint J*. Aug 2014;96-B(8):1070-1076. PMID 25086123
21. Martinez-Rondanelli A, Martinez JP, Moncada ME, et al. Electromagnetic stimulation as coadjuvant in the healing of diaphyseal femoral fractures: a randomized controlled trial. *Colomb Med (Cali)*. Apr-Jun 2014;45(2):67-71. PMID 25100891
22. Hannemann PF, Essers BA, Schots JP, et al. Functional outcome and cost-effectiveness of pulsed electromagnetic fields in the treatment of acute scaphoid fractures: a cost-utility analysis. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. Apr 11 2015;16:84. PMID 25880388

23. Beck BR, Matheson GO, Bergman G, et al. Do capacitively coupled electric fields accelerate tibial stress fracture healing? A randomized controlled trial. *Am J Sports Med.* Mar 2008;36(3):545-553. PMID 18055921
24. Borsalino G, Bagnacani M, Bettati E, et al. Electrical stimulation of human femoral intertrochanteric osteotomies. Double-blind study. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* Dec 1988(237):256-263. PMID 3191636
25. Dhawan SK, Conti SF, Towers J, et al. The effect of pulsed electromagnetic fields on hindfoot arthrodesis: a prospective study. *J Foot Ankle Surg.* Mar-Apr 2004;43(2):93-96. PMID 15057855
26. Petrisor B, Lau JT. Electrical bone stimulation: an overview and its use in high risk and Charcot foot and ankle reconstructions. *Foot Ankle Clin.* Dec 2005;10(4):609-620, vii-viii. PMID 16297822
27. Lau JT, Stamatis ED, Myerson MS, et al. Implantable direct-current bone stimulators in high-risk and revision foot and ankle surgery: a retrospective analysis with outcome assessment. *Am J Orthop (Belle Mead NJ).* Jul 2007;36(7):354-357. PMID 17694182
28. Saxena A, DiDomenico LA, Widtfeldt A, et al. Implantable electrical bone stimulation for arthrodeses of the foot and ankle in high-risk patients: a multicenter study. *J Foot Ankle Surg.* Nov-Dec 2005;44(6):450-454. PMID 16257674
29. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. National Coverage Determination (NCD) for Osteogenic Stimulators (150.2). 2005; [https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncddetails.aspx?NCDId=65&ncdver=2&DocID=150.2&ncd\\_id=150.2&ncd\\_version=2&basket=ncd%25253A150%25252E2%25253A2%25253AOsteogenic+Stimulators&bc=gAAAABAAAA&](https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncddetails.aspx?NCDId=65&ncdver=2&DocID=150.2&ncd_id=150.2&ncd_version=2&basket=ncd%25253A150%25252E2%25253A2%25253AOsteogenic+Stimulators&bc=gAAAABAAAA&). Accessed March 7, 2018.

## Related Policies

Electrical Stimulation of the Spine as an Adjunct to Spinal Fusion procedures

## Document Precedence

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Vermont (BCBSVT) Medical Policies are developed to provide clinical guidance and are based on research of current medical literature and review of common medical practices in the treatment and diagnosis of disease. The applicable group/individual contract and member certificate language, or employer's benefit plan if an ASO group, determines benefits that are in effect at the time of service. Since medical practices and knowledge are constantly evolving, BCBSVT reserves the right to review and revise its medical policies periodically. To the extent that there may be any conflict between medical policy and contract/employer benefit plan language, the member's contract/employer benefit plan language takes precedence.

## Audit Information

BCBSVT reserves the right to conduct audits on any provider and/or facility to ensure compliance with the guidelines stated in the medical policy. If an audit identifies instances of non-compliance with this medical policy, BCBSVT reserves the right to recoup all non-compliant payments.

## Administrative and Contractual Guidance

### Benefit Determination Guidance

Prior approval is required for services outlined in this policy. Benefits are subject to all terms, limitations and conditions of the subscriber contract.

NEHP/ABNE members may have different benefits for services listed in this policy. To confirm benefits, please contact the customer service department at the member's health plan.

Federal Employee Program (FEP): Members may have different benefits that apply. For further information please contact FEP customer service or refer to the FEP Service Benefit Plan Brochure. It is important to verify the member's benefits prior to providing the service to determine if benefits are available or if there is a specific exclusion in the member's benefit.

Coverage varies according to the member's group or individual contract. Not all groups are required to follow the Vermont legislative mandates. Member Contract language takes precedence over medical policy when there is a conflict.

If the member receives benefits through an Administrative Services Only (ASO) group, benefits may vary or not apply. To verify benefit information, please refer to the member's employer benefit plan documents or contact the customer service department. Language in the employer benefit plan documents takes precedence over medical policy when there is a conflict.

### Policy Implementation/Update Information

04/2017	Adopted BCBSA policy MPRM 7.01.07
10/2017	Updated description, updated regulatory status, updated references, added Related Policy section, added ICD-10 Q74.0 to the coding table. Policy Statement remains unchanged.
01/2019	Aligned with BCBSA MPRM 7.01.07 updated references, policy statements remain unchanged.

### Eligible providers

Qualified healthcare professionals practicing within the scope of their license(s).

### Approved by BCBSVT Medical Directors

### Date Approved

Joshua Plavin, MD, MPH, MBA  
Chief Medical Officer

Kate McIntosh, MD, FAAP  
Senior Medical Director

Attachment I  
CPT® Coding Table

Code Type	Number	Description	Policy Instructions
<b>The following codes will be considered as medically necessary when applicable criteria have been met.</b>			
CPT®	20974	Electrical stimulation to aid bone healing; noninvasive (non-operative)	Prior Approval is required
HCPCS	E0747	Osteogenesis stimulator, electrical, noninvasive, other than spinal applications	Prior Approval is required
<b>The following codes will be denied as Not Medically Necessary, Non-Covered, Contract Exclusions or Investigational</b>			
CPT®	20975	Electrical stimulation to aid bone healing; invasive (operative)	Investigational
HCPCS	E0749	Osteogenesis stimulator, electrical, surgically implanted	Investigational

Attachment II  
ICD-10-CM Code Table

ICD-10 code	Description
<b>The following codes are considered medically necessary when applicable criteria is met.</b>	
Q74.0	Other congenital malformations of upper limb(s), including shoulder girdle (includes congenital pseudoarthrosis of clavicle)
S32.2xxK- S32.9xxK	Fracture of coccyx
S42.00xK- S42.92xK	Fracture of shoulder and upper arm
S49.00xK- S49.199K	Other and unspecified injuries of shoulder and upper arm
S52.00xK- S52.92xN	Fracture of forearm

ICD-10 code	Description
S59.00xK- S59.299K	Other and unspecified injuries of elbow and forearm
S62.00xK- S62.92xK-	Fracture at wrist and hand level
S72.00xK- S72.92xN	Fracture of femur
S79.00xK- S79.199K	Other and unspecified injuries of hip and thigh
S82.00xK- S82.92xN	Fracture of lower leg, including ankle
S89.00xK- S89.399K	Other and unspecified injuries of lower leg
S92.00xK- S92.919K	Fracture of foot and toe, except ankle
<p>Fracture nonunion codes for the appendicular skeleton - 7th digit  "K" is subsequent encounter for nonunion (in forearm, femur, lower leg &amp; ankle fractures 7th digits "M" and "N" are also nonunion for certain types of open fractures - in fractures of the shoulder, humerus, wrist, hand and foot there isn't separation of open vs. closed non-unions).</p>	